Some Results on Pseudo-Collar Structures on High-Dimensional Manifolds

Jeffrey Rolland

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee

January 21, 2015

Jeffrey Rolland Some Results on Pseudo-Collar Structures on High-Dimensional

A B > A B >

• Quillen's plus construction is a way of taking a CW complex or manifold X whose fundamental group G contains a perfect normal subgroup P and creating a new CW complex or manifold X^+ whose fundamental group is simpler, namely Q = G/P

- Quillen's plus construction is a way of taking a CW complex or manifold X whose fundamental group G contains a perfect normal subgroup P and creating a new CW complex or manifold X^+ whose fundamental group is simpler, namely Q = G/P
- If the object is a manifold, the plus construction creates a plus cobordism (W, X, X⁺) between the two manifold with X⁺ → W a simple homotopy equivalence which gives rise to a map f between the two manifolds

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

- Quillen's plus construction is a way of taking a CW complex or manifold X whose fundamental group G contains a perfect normal subgroup P and creating a new CW complex or manifold X^+ whose fundamental group is simpler, namely Q = G/P
- If the object is a manifold, the plus construction creates a plus cobordism (W, X, X⁺) between the two manifold with X⁺ → W a simple homotopy equivalence which gives rise to a map f between the two manifolds
- If the object is a CW complex, the Plus Construction simply create a map *f* between the two CW complexes

同下 イヨト イヨト ニヨ

- Quillen's plus construction is a way of taking a CW complex or manifold X whose fundamental group G contains a perfect normal subgroup P and creating a new CW complex or manifold X^+ whose fundamental group is simpler, namely Q = G/P
- If the object is a manifold, the plus construction creates a plus cobordism (W, X, X⁺) between the two manifold with X⁺ → W a simple homotopy equivalence which gives rise to a map f between the two manifolds
- If the object is a CW complex, the Plus Construction simply create a map *f* between the two CW complexes
- In either case, the map f is a $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -homology isomorphism

・同・ ・ヨ・ ・ヨ・ ・ヨ

• What we have discovered is a theory of a Geometric Reverse to Quillen's Plus Construction.

- What we have discovered is a theory of a Geometric Reverse to Quillen's Plus Construction.
- Specifically, given a closed manifold N with fundamental group $Q = \pi_1(N)$ and a f.p. superperfect group S, we construct a compact 1-sided s-cobordism W whose left-hand boundary component is N and whose right-hand boundary component is a closed manifold N_- whose fundamental group G is a semi-direct product of Q by K, $G = S \rtimes Q$

- What we have discovered is a theory of a Geometric Reverse to Quillen's Plus Construction.
- Specifically, given a closed manifold N with fundamental group $Q = \pi_1(N)$ and a f.p. superperfect group S, we construct a compact 1-sided s-cobordism W whose left-hand boundary component is N and whose right-hand boundary component is a closed manifold N_- whose fundamental group G is a semi-direct product of Q by K, $G = S \rtimes Q$
- (This means G satisfies 1 → S → G → Q → 1, so G is a group extension of Q by S, with a special condition for how elements of Q multiply elements of S in G)

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- What we have discovered is a theory of a Geometric Reverse to Quillen's Plus Construction.
- Specifically, given a closed manifold N with fundamental group $Q = \pi_1(N)$ and a f.p. superperfect group S, we construct a compact 1-sided s-cobordism W whose left-hand boundary component is N and whose right-hand boundary component is a closed manifold N_- whose fundamental group G is a semi-direct product of Q by K, $G = S \rtimes Q$
- (This means G satisfies 1 → S → G → Q → 1, so G is a group extension of Q by S, with a special condition for how elements of Q multiply elements of S in G)
- (Semi-direct products are the simplest kind of group extensions; They are the split-exact extensions. Direct products are one example)

(4月) (日) (日) 日

- What we have discovered is a theory of a Geometric Reverse to Quillen's Plus Construction.
- Specifically, given a closed manifold N with fundamental group $Q = \pi_1(N)$ and a f.p. superperfect group S, we construct a compact 1-sided s-cobordism W whose left-hand boundary component is N and whose right-hand boundary component is a closed manifold N_- whose fundamental group G is a semi-direct product of Q by K, $G = S \rtimes Q$
- (This means G satisfies 1 → S → G → Q → 1, so G is a group extension of Q by S, with a special condition for how elements of Q multiply elements of S in G)
- (Semi-direct products are the simplest kind of group extensions; They are the split-exact extensions. Direct products are one example)
- The cobordism (W, N, N_−) is has π₁(N) ≅ Q, π₁(N_−) ≅ G, and N ↔ W a simple homotopy equivalence

• We call (*W*, *N*, *N*_) a 1-sided s-cobordism, or sometimes a semi-s-cobordism, because it is "half an s-cobordism"

• We call (*W*, *N*, *N*_) a 1-sided s-cobordism, or sometimes a semi-s-cobordism, because it is "half an s-cobordism"



• We call (*W*, *N*, *N*_) a 1-sided s-cobordism, or sometimes a semi-s-cobordism, because it is "half an s-cobordism"



٩

Note (W, N_−, N) (read upside-down, with the roles of N and N_− reversed) is also a 1-sided s-cobordims, called a plus cobordism (so (N_−)⁺ ≈ N)

• For the rest of this talk, all mflds are assumed to be orientable.

Theorem (R., 2009)

Let $n \ge 6$. Let N be a closed n-manifold with $Q = \pi_1(N)$. Let S be f.p. and superperfect. Then there exists a cpt n-dimensional 1-sided h-cobordism (W, N, M) with left-hand bdy N and with right-hand bdy M having $\pi_1(M) \cong G$, where G is a gp extension of Q by S with trivial second homology elt and with $N \hookrightarrow W$ a simple homotopy equivalence.

 What we did next was to "stack" these 1-sided s-cobordisms, forming (W₁, N, N₋), (W₂, N₋, N₋₋), and so on, out to infinity

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

3

- What we did next was to "stack" these 1-sided s-cobordisms, forming (W₁, N, N₋), (W₂, N₋, N₋₋), and so on, out to infinity
- Gluing W₁ and W₂ together across N₋ and so on produces an (n+1)-dimesional, 1-ended manifold V whose has neighborhoods of infinity that are pseudo-collars

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

۲

- What we did next was to "stack" these 1-sided s-cobordisms, forming (W₁, N, N₋), (W₂, N₋, N₋₋), and so on, out to infinity
- Gluing W₁ and W₂ together across N₋ and so on produces an (n+1)-dimesional, 1-ended manifold V whose has neighborhoods of infinity that are pseudo-collars



Jeffrey Rolland Some Results on Pseudo-Collar Structures on High-Dimensional

• Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold

A B > A B >

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- A homotopy collar is a neighborhood of infinity U with $\partial U \hookrightarrow U$ a homotopy equivalence

A B M A B M

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- A homotopy collar is a neighborhood of infinity U with $\partial U \hookrightarrow U$ a homotopy equivalence
- A pseudo-collar is a neighborhood of infinity that admits arbitrarily small homotopy collar neighborhoods of infinity

(*) *) *) *)

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- A homotopy collar is a neighborhood of infinity U with $\partial U \hookrightarrow U$ a homotopy equivalence
- A pseudo-collar is a neighborhood of infinity that admits arbitrarily small homotopy collar neighborhoods of infinity
- We would like to produce many examples of open, 1-ended, (n+1)-dimensional pseudo-collared manifolds

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- A homotopy collar is a neighborhood of infinity U with $\partial U \hookrightarrow U$ a homotopy equivalence
- A pseudo-collar is a neighborhood of infinity that admits arbitrarily small homotopy collar neighborhoods of infinity
- We would like to produce many examples of open, 1-ended, (n+1)-dimensional pseudo-collared manifolds
- We could just keep changing the kernel group S at each stage, but we would like to keep the same kernel group S

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- A homotopy collar is a neighborhood of infinity U with $\partial U \hookrightarrow U$ a homotopy equivalence
- A pseudo-collar is a neighborhood of infinity that admits arbitrarily small homotopy collar neighborhoods of infinity
- We would like to produce many examples of open, 1-ended, (n+1)-dimensional pseudo-collared manifolds
- We could just keep changing the kernel group S at each stage, but we would like to keep the same kernel group S
- The idea is that there will be infinitely many outer automorphisms, so we can form infinitely many semi-direct products, each with a different outer automorphism

・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- A homotopy collar is a neighborhood of infinity U with $\partial U \hookrightarrow U$ a homotopy equivalence
- A pseudo-collar is a neighborhood of infinity that admits arbitrarily small homotopy collar neighborhoods of infinity
- We would like to produce many examples of open, 1-ended, (n+1)-dimensional pseudo-collared manifolds
- We could just keep changing the kernel group S at each stage, but we would like to keep the same kernel group S
- The idea is that there will be infinitely many outer automorphisms, so we can form infinitely many semi-direct products, each with a different outer automorphism
- This is one advantage of using semi-direct products over direct products

Let M be a manifold of dimension $n \ge 6$ with fundamental group \mathbb{Z} . Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let S = P * P. Then there are uncountably many (n + 1)-dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

Let M be a manifold of dimension $n \ge 6$ with fundamental group \mathbb{Z} . Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let S = P * P. Then there are uncountably many (n + 1)-dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

• V will break up into semi-s-cobordisms (W_j, M_{j-1}, M_j) , where $G_j \cong S \rtimes G_{j-1}$, $G_j = \pi_1(M_j)$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Let M be a manifold of dimension $n \ge 6$ with fundamental group \mathbb{Z} . Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let S = P * P. Then there are uncountably many (n + 1)-dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

- V will break up into semi-s-cobordisms (W_j, M_{j-1}, M_j) , where $G_j \cong S \rtimes G_{j-1}$, $G_j = \pi_1(M_j)$
- Each G_j will, in fact, be isomorphic to $(S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Let M be a manifold of dimension $n \ge 6$ with fundamental group \mathbb{Z} . Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let S = P * P. Then there are uncountably many (n + 1)-dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

- V will break up into semi-s-cobordisms (W_j, M_{j-1}, M_j) , where $G_j \cong S \rtimes G_{j-1}$, $G_j = \pi_1(M_j)$
- Each G_j will, in fact, be isomorphic to $(S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$
- We will produce different G_j's by varying the outer actions, a technical part of semi-direct products, while keeping the quotient group, ℤ, and kernel group, S, essentially constant

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Let M be a manifold of dimension $n \ge 6$ with fundamental group \mathbb{Z} . Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let S = P * P. Then there are uncountably many (n + 1)-dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

- V will break up into semi-s-cobordisms (W_j, M_{j-1}, M_j) , where $G_j \cong S \rtimes G_{j-1}$, $G_j = \pi_1(M_j)$
- Each G_j will, in fact, be isomorphic to $(S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$
- We will produce different G_j 's by varying the outer actions, a technical part of semi-direct products, while keeping the quotient group, \mathbb{Z} , and kernel group, S, essentially constant
- We will produce one V for each increasing sequence of prime numbers

Existence of Non-Pseudo-Collarable "Nice" Manifolds

• We also show that not all ends of even "nice" manifolds are pseudo-collarable

(*) *) *) *)

Existence of Non-Pseudo-Collarable "Nice" Manifolds

• We also show that not all ends of even "nice" manifolds are pseudo-collarable

Theorem (R., 2010)

Let M^n be an orientable, closed manifold $(n \ge 6)$ such that $\pi_1(M)$ contains an element t_0 of infinite order and $\pi_1(M)$ is hypo-Abelian. Then there exists a 1-ended, orientable manifold V^{n+1} with $\partial V = M$ in which all clean neighborhoods of infinity have finite homotopy type, but which does not have perfectly semistable fundamental group at infinity. Thus, V^{n+1} is absolutely inward tame but not pseudocollable.

Existence of Non-Pseudo-Collarable "Nice" Manifolds

• We also show that not all ends of even "nice" manifolds are pseudo-collarable

Theorem (R., 2010)

Let M^n be an orientable, closed manifold $(n \ge 6)$ such that $\pi_1(M)$ contains an element t_0 of infinite order and $\pi_1(M)$ is hypo-Abelian. Then there exists a 1-ended, orientable manifold V^{n+1} with $\partial V = M$ in which all clean neighborhoods of infinity have finite homotopy type, but which does not have perfectly semistable fundamental group at infinity. Thus, V^{n+1} is absolutely inward tame but not pseudocollable.

 A group G is hypo-Abelian if its perfect core, the largest perfect subgroup (necessarily normal) ⟨e⟩.

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

 For Q ≈ 1, this result was already known, as a corollary to Kervaire's Theorem that every homology sphere bounds a contractible manifold.

- For Q ≈ 1, this result was already known, as a corollary to Kervaire's Theorem that every homology sphere bounds a contractible manifold.
- However, we supply a new proof of this fact.

• Sketch of Proof

A B > A B >

- Sketch of Proof
- Begin by crossing N with \mathbb{I} .

- ₹ 🖬 🕨
- Sketch of Proof
- Begin by crossing N with \mathbb{I} .
- Let $Q \cong < \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_1} | r_1, \dots, r_{l_1} >$ be a presentation for Q.

- Sketch of Proof
- Begin by crossing N with \mathbb{I} .
- Let $Q \cong < \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k_1} | r_1, \ldots, r_{l_1} >$ be a presentation for Q.
- Let $P \cong < \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_2} | s_1, \ldots, s_{l_2} >$ be a presentation for P.

- Sketch of Proof
- Begin by crossing N with \mathbb{I} .
- Let $Q \cong < \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k_1} | r_1, \ldots, r_{l_1} >$ be a presentation for Q.
- Let $P \cong < \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_2} | s_1, \ldots, s_{l_2} >$ be a presentation for P.
- Take a small disk D inside of $N \times \{1\}$.

- Sketch of Proof
- Begin by crossing N with \mathbb{I} .
- Let $Q \cong < \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k_1} | r_1, \ldots, r_{l_1} >$ be a presentation for Q.
- Let $P \cong < \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_2} | s_1, \ldots, s_{l_2} >$ be a presentation for P.
- Take a small disk D inside of $N \times \{1\}$.
- Attach trivial 1-handles inside the disk for each generator β of P.

- Sketch of Proof
- Begin by crossing N with \mathbb{I} .
- Let $Q \cong < \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k_1} | r_1, \ldots, r_{l_1} > be a presentation for <math>Q$.
- Let $P \cong < \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_2} | s_1, \ldots, s_{l_2} >$ be a presentation for P.
- Take a small disk D inside of $N \times \{1\}$.
- Attach trivial 1-handles inside the disk for each generator β of P.
- Attach 2-handles across the disk for each relator s of P.

• As a corollary to the Solution to the Group Extension Problem, since we are using the trivial 2nd homology element, for each element $\beta_i \alpha_j$ in *G*, there is a word $w_{i,j}$ in the β 's so that $\beta_i \alpha_j = \alpha_j w_{i,j}$.

- As a corollary to the Solution to the Group Extension Problem, since we are using the trivial 2nd homology element, for each element $\beta_i \alpha_j$ in *G*, there is a word $w_{i,j}$ in the β 's so that $\beta_i \alpha_j = \alpha_j w_{i,j}$.
- Attach a 2-handle across each β_iα_j(α_jw_{i,j})⁻¹ (these will leak outside the disk).

- As a corollary to the Solution to the Group Extension Problem, since we are using the trivial 2nd homology element, for each element β_iα_j in G, there is a word w_{i,j} in the β's so that β_iα_j = α_jw_{i,j}.
- Attach a 2-handle across each $\beta_i \alpha_j (\alpha_j w_{i,j})^{-1}$ (these will leak outside the disk).
- Now, we have a cobordism (W_1, N, M) with $\pi_1(N) \cong Q$, $\pi_1(W_1) \cong G$, and $\pi_1(M) \cong G$.

Lemma

Let $\overline{W_1}$ be the cover of W_1 with fund gp P (and covering transformation group Q). Then we may arrange the handles attached to $\overline{W_1}$ across \overline{M} so that they project down equivariantly via the covering map to corresponding handles attached to W across M.

• So, now the relative handlebody chain complex of $(\overline{W_1}, \widetilde{N})$ looks like

$$\rightarrow C_3(\overline{W_1},\widetilde{N};\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C_2(\overline{W_1},\widetilde{N};\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} C_1(\overline{W_1},\widetilde{N};\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C_0(\overline{W_1},\widetilde{N};\mathbb{Z}) -$$



• Call
$$A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l_2} \mathbb{Z}Q$$
 and call $B = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_2} \mathbb{Z}Q$.

< ∃ >

-

• Call $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_2} \mathbb{Z}Q$ and call $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_2} \mathbb{Z}Q$.

• Since
$$H_1(\overline{W_1}) = 0$$
, $\partial|_A$ is onto.

- ∢ ⊒ →

╗▶ < 글▶

- Call $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_2} \mathbb{Z}Q$ and call $B = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_2} \mathbb{Z}Q$.
- Since $H_1(\overline{W_1}) = 0$, $\partial|_A$ is onto.
- Clearly, B is a free $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module.

Lemma

Let A, B, and C be R-modules, with B a free R-module (on the basis F), and let $\Theta : A \bigoplus B \to C$ be an R-module homomorphism. Suppose $\Theta|_A$ is onto. Then ker $(\Theta) \cong ker(\Theta|_A) \bigoplus B$.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

• So, ker(∂) is a free, finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module

-∢ ≣ ▶

So, ker(∂) is a free, finitely generated ZQ-module
Also, π₁(W₁) is superperfect.

Lemma (Superperfect Groups Have Spherical Elements for H_2)

Let P be a superperfect group. Let M be a manifold which has fundamental group isomorphic to P. Then any element of $H_2(M)$ can be killed by attaching 3-handles.

• This lemma may be seen as a direct corollary of the definition of superperfect.

• So, we can make
$$H_*(\overline{W_1}, \widetilde{N}) = 0$$
.

→ 3 → 4 3

- So, we can make $H_*(\overline{W_1}, \widetilde{N}) = 0$.
- Unfortunately, $\pi_1(W_1) \cong G$, not Q.

• So, we add canceling 2-, 3-, and 4-handles for all the 1-, 2-, and 3-handles we added to W.

- So, we add canceling 2-, 3-, and 4-handles for all the 1-, 2-, and 3-handles we added to W.
- This creates a cobordism (W₂, M, N) with W₁ ∪_M W₂ homeomorphic to N × I.

- So, we add canceling 2-, 3-, and 4-handles for all the 1-, 2-, and 3-handles we added to W.
- This creates a cobordism (W₂, M, N) with W₁ ∪_M W₂ homeomorphic to N × I.
- Finally, read W_2 from right to left.

- So, we add canceling 2-, 3-, and 4-handles for all the 1-, 2-, and 3-handles we added to W.
- This creates a cobordism (W₂, M, N) with W₁ ∪_M W₂ homeomorphic to N × I.
- Finally, read W_2 from right to left.
- This creates a cobordism (W_3, N, M) with [(n+1)-4]-, [(n+1)-3]-, and [(n+1)-2]-handles added.

- So, we add canceling 2-, 3-, and 4-handles for all the 1-, 2-, and 3-handles we added to W.
- This creates a cobordism (W₂, M, N) with W₁ ∪_M W₂ homeomorphic to N × I.
- Finally, read W_2 from right to left.
- This creates a cobordism (W_3, N, M) with [(n+1)-4]-, [(n+1)-3]-, and [(n+1)-2]-handles added.
- This means $\pi_1(W_3) \cong Q$, as $n \ge 6$.

• Now,
$$\pi_1(\widetilde{W}_3) \cong 1$$
.

→ 3 → 4 3

- Now, $\pi_1(\widetilde{W}_3) \cong 1$.
- Also, H*(W₃, N) = 0, by a straight-forward argument using the fact that H_{*}(W₃, N) = 0.

- Now, $\pi_1(\widetilde{W}_3) \cong 1$.
- Also, H*(W₃, N) = 0, by a straight-forward argument using the fact that H_{*}(W₃, N) = 0.
- So, by the relative Hurewicz theorem, $\pi_n(\widetilde{W}_3, \widetilde{N}) = \pi_n(W_3, N) = 0$ for $n \ge 2$.

- Now, $\pi_1(\widetilde{W}_3) \cong 1$.
- Also, H*(W₃, N) = 0, by a straight-forward argument using the fact that H_{*}(W₃, N) = 0.
- So, by the relative Hurewicz theorem, $\pi_n(\widetilde{W}_3, \widetilde{N}) = \pi_n(W_3, N) = 0$ for $n \ge 2$.
- This proves W_3 strong deformation retracts onto N

- Now, $\pi_1(\widetilde{W}_3) \cong 1$.
- Also, H*(W₃, N) = 0, by a straight-forward argument using the fact that H_{*}(W₃, N) = 0.
- So, by the relative Hurewicz theorem, $\pi_n(\widetilde{W}_3, \widetilde{N}) = \pi_n(W_3, N) = 0$ for $n \ge 2$.
- This proves W_3 strong deformation retracts onto N
- Because of the special nature of the group extension G, by an otherwise well-known technique (Rourke & Sanderson, P. 90), and by a few theorems of Cohen, we may adjust the torsion on M so that N → W₃ is a simple homotopy equivalence.

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Now, $\pi_1(\widetilde{W}_3) \cong 1$.
- Also, H*(W₃, N) = 0, by a straight-forward argument using the fact that H_{*}(W₃, N) = 0.
- So, by the relative Hurewicz theorem, $\pi_n(\widetilde{W}_3, \widetilde{N}) = \pi_n(W_3, N) = 0$ for $n \ge 2$.
- This proves W_3 strong deformation retracts onto N
- Because of the special nature of the group extension G, by an otherwise well-known technique (Rourke & Sanderson, P. 90), and by a few theorems of Cohen, we may adjust the torsion on M so that N → W₃ is a simple homotopy equivalence.
- This concludes the proof

• We now return to the theorem about uncoutably many pseudo-collars with similar pro-fundamental group structure at infinity

- We now return to the theorem about uncoutably many pseudo-collars with similar pro-fundamental group structure at infinity
- There actually is a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders

- We now return to the theorem about uncoutably many pseudo-collars with similar pro-fundamental group structure at infinity
- There actually is a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders
- Thompson's group V fits the bill

- We now return to the theorem about uncoutably many pseudo-collars with similar pro-fundamental group structure at infinity
- There actually is a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders
- Thompson's group V fits the bill
- It is fintely presented, superperfect, simple (hence centerless and Hopfian - also perfect), and contains a copy of each S_n, hence of each finite group, hence torsion elements of each order

• Of course, it's pretty easy to whip out a 1-ended, pseudo-collarable manifold V: you just keep taking larger and larger G_i's and M_i's and glue the cobordisms together

- Of course, it's pretty easy to whip out a 1-ended, pseudo-collarable manifold V: you just keep taking larger and larger G_j's and M_j's and glue the cobordisms together
- The hard part is proving that the resulting pro-fundamental group systems at infinity are all non-isomorphic
- Of course, it's pretty easy to whip out a 1-ended, pseudo-collarable manifold V: you just keep taking larger and larger G_i's and M_i's and glue the cobordisms together
- The hard part is proving that the resulting pro-fundamental group systems at infinity are all non-isomorphic
- For example, if $Q = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$, $K_1 = \mathbb{Z}$, and $K_2 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $G_1 = K_1 \times Q$ and $G_2 = K_2 \times Q$ are isomorphic, even though $K_1 \ncong K_2$

伺下 イラト イラト ニラ

• We would like to relax the constraint on P that it have torsion elements of infinitely many different orders to that it contains a countably infinite subset U with the property that there is no isomorphism ψ of P which carries u_i onto u_j for u_i and u_j distinct elements of U, but have run into some technical difficulties

- We would like to relax the constraint on P that it have torsion elements of infinitely many different orders to that it contains a countably infinite subset U with the property that there is no isomorphism ψ of P which carries u_i onto u_j for u_i and u_j distinct elements of U, but have run into some technical difficulties
- This would open up more groups as possible candidates for P, for example, all fundamental groups of hyperbolic homology spheres of dimension ≥ 3

• Sketch of Proof

→ □ → → □ →

• Sketch of Proof

Lemma

Let A, B, C, and D be nontrivial groups and ley $\phi : A \times B \to C * D$ be a epimorphism. Then either $\phi(A \times \{1\})$ is all of C * D and $\phi(\{1\} \times B)$ is trivial or $\phi(A \times \{1\})$ is trivial and $\phi(\{1\} \times B)$ is all of C * D

向 ト イヨ ト イヨト

• Sketch of Proof

Lemma

Let A, B, C, and D be nontrivial groups and ley $\phi : A \times B \to C * D$ be a epimorphism. Then either $\phi(A \times \{1\})$ is all of C * D and $\phi(\{1\} \times B)$ is trivial or $\phi(A \times \{1\})$ is trivial and $\phi(\{1\} \times B)$ is all of C * D

• Remark This is really the grain of sand that led to the pearl that is the theorem. Everything must commute and the domain, and nothing can commute in the range

• Sketch of Proof

Lemma

Let A, B, C, and D be nontrivial groups and ley $\phi : A \times B \to C * D$ be a epimorphism. Then either $\phi(A \times \{1\})$ is all of C * D and $\phi(\{1\} \times B)$ is trivial or $\phi(A \times \{1\})$ is trivial and $\phi(\{1\} \times B)$ is all of C * D

- Remark This is really the grain of sand that led to the pearl that is the theorem. Everything must commute and the domain, and nothing can commute in the range
- The proof uses the fact that a free product is never an internal direct product

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Lemma (The Straightening-Up Lemma (n = m))

Let n = m, let S be a free product, and let $\psi: S \times S \times \ldots \times S$ (n copies) $\rightarrow S \times S \times \ldots \times S$ (m copies) be an isomorphism. Write $\psi_{i,j}$ for $\pi_{S_j} \circ \psi|_{S_i}$. Then ψ splits as nisomorphisms $\psi_{i,\sigma(i)}$, with σ a permutation, with all other $\psi_{i,j}$'s being the trivial map

Lemma (The Straightening-Up Lemma (n = m))

Let n = m, let S be a free product, and let $\psi: S \times S \times \ldots \times S$ (n copies) $\rightarrow S \times S \times \ldots \times S$ (m copies) be an isomorphism. Write $\psi_{i,j}$ for $\pi_{S_j} \circ \psi|_{S_i}$. Then ψ splits as nisomorphisms $\psi_{i,\sigma(i)}$, with σ a permutation, with all other $\psi_{i,j}$'s being the trivial map

Lemma (The Straightening-Up Corollary (n > m))

Let n > m, let S be a Hopfian free product, and let $\psi : S \times S \times \ldots \times S$ (n copies) $\rightarrow S \times S \times \ldots \times S$ (m copies) be an epimorphism. Write $\psi_{i,j}$ for $\pi_{S_j} \circ \psi|_{S_i}$. Then ψ splits as misomorphisms $\psi_{\sigma^{-1}(i),i}$, with σ a permutation, with all other $\psi_{i,j}$'s being the trivial map

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• For the next 2 Lemmas, we have

< ∃ >

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect $P_1 * P_2$ (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from P_1)

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect $P_1 * P_2$ (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from P_1)

•
$$G_1 = (S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2}, \ldots, \phi_{u_n}} \mathbb{Z}$$
 and

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect $P_1 * P_2$ (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from P_1)
- $G_1 = (S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2}, \ldots, \phi_{u_n}} \mathbb{Z}$ and
- G₂ = (S × S × ... × S) ⋊_{φv1},φv2</sub>,...,φvm ℤ are semi-direct products

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect $P_1 * P_2$ (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from P_1)
- $G_1 = (S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2}, \ldots, \phi_{u_n}} \mathbb{Z}$ and
- G₂ = (S × S × ... × S) ⋊_{φv1}, φv2</sub>,...,φvm ℤ are semi-direct products

• where ϕ_{u_i} is the outer action of \mathbb{Z} on S given by $\phi_{u_i}(z)(p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \in P_1 \\ u_i^{-z} p u_i^z & \text{if } p \in P_2 \end{cases}$

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect $P_1 * P_2$ (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from P_1)

•
$$G_1 = (S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2}, \ldots, \phi_{u_n}} \mathbb{Z}$$
 and

• $G_2 = (S \times S \times ... \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{v_1}, \phi_{v_2},...,\phi_{v_m}} \mathbb{Z}$ are semi-direct products

• where ϕ_{u_i} is the outer action of \mathbb{Z} on S given by $\phi_{u_i}(z)(p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \in P_1 \\ u_i^{-z} p u_i^z & \text{if } p \in P_2 \end{cases}$

• (This particular kind of outer action is called a partial conjugation)

Lemma (The Conder Isomorphism Lemma (n = m))

Let n = m, and let $\theta : G_1 \to G_2$ be an isomorphism. Then θ restricts to an isomorphism on the commutator subgroup $K = S \times S \times \ldots \times S$, and S factors which correspond by the Straightening-Up Lemma (n = m) have ϕ_u 's with the same order in the definition of their semi-direct product

Lemma (The Conder Isomorphism Lemma (n = m))

Let n = m, and let $\theta : G_1 \to G_2$ be an isomorphism. Then θ restricts to an isomorphism on the commutator subgroup $K = S \times S \times \ldots \times S$, and S factors which correspond by the Straightening-Up Lemma (n = m) have ϕ_u 's with the same order in the definition of their semi-direct product

Lemma (The Conder Isomorphism Corollary (n > m))

Let n > m, and let $\theta : G_1 \to G_2$ be an epimorphism. Then θ restricts to an epimorphism on the commutator subgroup $K = S \times S \times \ldots \times S$, and S factors which correspond by the Straightening-Up Corollary (n > m) have ϕ_u 's with the same order in the definition of their semi-direct product

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

Now, for Ω the collection of all increasing sequences of prime numbers, we have the following tree

Now, for Ω the collection of all increasing sequences of prime numbers, we have the following tree



We start at the root of the tree with \mathbb{Z} , and keep blowing this quotient group up by a semi-direct product with S at each node

We start at the root of the tree with \mathbb{Z} , and keep blowing this quotient group up by a semi-direct product with S at each node



This gives rise to binary tree of group extensions ...

This gives rise to binary tree of group extensions ...

... and 1-sided s-cobordisms

→ 3 → < 3</p>

... and 1-sided s-cobordisms

A B + A B +

Now, for each ω ∈ Ω, let (ω, n) be the corresponding finite sequence of length n

A B > A B >

- Now, for each ω ∈ Ω, let (ω, n) be the corresponding finite sequence of length n
- Corresponding to each ω ∈ Ω, we have an inverse sequence of groups (G_(ω,n), α_(ω,n))

- Now, for each ω ∈ Ω, let (ω, n) be the corresponding finite sequence of length n
- Corresponding to each ω ∈ Ω, we have an inverse sequence of groups (G_(ω,n), α_(ω,n))
- Two inverse sequences are pro-isomorphic if and only if, after passing to subsequences, they may be put into a ladder diagram



 $\bullet\,$ To finish off the proof, let ω and η be distinct sequences in Ω

→ 3 → < 3</p>

- $\bullet\,$ To finish off the proof, let ω and η be distinct sequences in Ω
- Suppose ω and η agree up to some level n_0

A B > A B >

- \bullet To finish off the proof, let ω and η be distinct sequences in Ω
- Suppose ω and η agree up to some level n_0
- Consider the 1-ended, pseudo-collarable (n+1)-manifolds V_ω and V_η

- To finish off the proof, let ω and η be distinct sequences in Ω
- Suppose ω and η agree up to some level n_0
- Consider the 1-ended, pseudo-collarable (n+1)-manifolds V_ω and V_η
- Suppose, after passing to subsequences, we have their pro-fundamental group systems at infinity fitting into a ladder diagram



Lemma

By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume $n_0 < m_1 < n_2 < m_3 < \dots$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Lemma

By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume $n_0 < m_1 < n_2 < m_3 < \dots$

• Now, g_{n_2} fits the form for the Conder Isomorphism Corollary (n > m), so it must be onto m_1 copies of S and corresponding copies of S must have ϕ_{u_i} 's withe same order

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Lemma

By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume $n_0 < m_1 < n_2 < m_3 < \dots$

- Now, g_{n_2} fits the form for the Conder Isomorphism Corollary (n > m), so it must be onto m_1 copies of S and corresponding copies of S must have ϕ_{u_i} 's withe same order
- But, ω and η only agree up to n_0 and cannot have ϕ_{u_i} 's with the same orders on the remaining $m_1 n_0$ corresponding copies of S!

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Lemma

By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume $n_0 < m_1 < n_2 < m_3 < \dots$

- Now, g_{n_2} fits the form for the Conder Isomorphism Corollary (n > m), so it must be onto m_1 copies of S and corresponding copies of S must have ϕ_{u_i} 's withe same order
- But, ω and η only agree up to n_0 and cannot have ϕ_{u_i} 's with the same orders on the remaining $m_1 n_0$ corresponding copies of S!
- This concludes the proof

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト
Sketch of Proof

A B M A B M

- Sketch of Proof
- For the inward tame manifold that is not pseudo-collarable, we start with a manifold that has a hypo-Abelian fundamental group G_0 with an element of infinite order t.

- Sketch of Proof
- For the inward tame manifold that is not pseudo-collarable, we start with a manifold that has a hypo-Abelian fundamental group G_0 with an element of infinite order t.

• Write
$$G_0 = \langle A_0 \mid R_0 \rangle$$

- Sketch of Proof
- For the inward tame manifold that is not pseudo-collarable, we start with a manifold that has a hypo-Abelian fundamental group G_0 with an element of infinite order t.

• Write
$$G_0 = \langle A_0 \mid R_0 \rangle$$

• Create a new group $G_1 = \langle A_0, t_1 | R_0, t_1 = t_0 t_1^2 t_0 \rangle$, where t_0 is the element of infinite order in G_0

- Sketch of Proof
- For the inward tame manifold that is not pseudo-collarable, we start with a manifold that has a hypo-Abelian fundamental group G₀ with an element of infinite order t.

• Write
$$G_0 = \langle A_0 \mid R_0 \rangle$$

- Create a new group $G_1 = \langle A_0, t_1 | R_0, t_1 = t_0 t_1^2 t_0 \rangle$, where t_0 is the element of infinite order in G_0
- Setting $A_1 = A_0 \cup \{t_1\}$ and $R_1 = R_0 \cup \{t_1 = t_0 t_1^2 t_0\}$, we continue inductively setting $G_j = \langle A_{j-1}, t_j | R_{j-1}, t_j = t_{j-1} t_j^2 t_{j-1} \rangle$

• By a theorem of Howie, as we can write $G_j = G_{j-1} *_{\langle t_j \rangle} I_2$, where I_2 is the Baumslag-Solitar group $\langle t, x | t = xt^2x \rangle$, G_j is hypo-Abelian.

- By a theorem of Howie, as we can write $G_j = G_{j-1} *_{\langle t_j \rangle} I_2$, where I_2 is the Baumslag-Solitar group $\langle t, x | t = xt^2x \rangle$, G_j is hypo-Abelian.
- Now, we produce the manifold V by starting with the manifold M_0 that has G_0 as its fundamental group, forming a cobordism (W, M_0, M_1) , where M_1 has G_1 as its fundamental group, and proceeding inductively.

- By a theorem of Howie, as we can write $G_j = G_{j-1} *_{\langle t_j \rangle} I_2$, where I_2 is the Baumslag-Solitar group $\langle t, x | t = xt^2x \rangle$, G_j is hypo-Abelian.
- Now, we produce the manifold V by starting with the manifold M_0 that has G_0 as its fundamental group, forming a cobordism (W, M_0, M_1) , where M_1 has G_1 as its fundamental group, and proceeding inductively.
- First, cross M_0 with \mathbb{I} , add a trivially attached 1-handle α_1^1 representing t_1 and a 2-handle α_2^2 representing $t_1 = t_0 t_1^2 t_0$, where t_0 is a loop of infinite order in M_0 .

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

• Then this cobordism (B_0, M_0, M_1) has fundamental group G_1 and it therefore not the cobordism we seek.

- Then this cobordism (B_0, M_0, M_1) has fundamental group G_1 and it therefore not the cobordism we seek.
- Attach a canceling handle for α_1^1 , β_1^2 , note that now α_2^2 is now trivially attached, and attach a canceling 3-handle β_2^3 .

- Then this cobordism (B_0, M_0, M_1) has fundamental group G_1 and it therefore not the cobordism we seek.
- Attach a canceling handle for α_1^1 , β_1^2 , note that now α_2^2 is now trivially attached, and attach a canceling 3-handle β_2^3 .
- Now, $B_0 \cup \beta_1^2 \cup \beta_2^3 = B_0 \cup_{M_1} W_0$, where (W_0, M_1, M_0) is $M_1 \times \mathbb{I}$ with β_1^2 and β_2^3 attached

- Then this cobordism (B_0, M_0, M_1) has fundamental group G_1 and it therefore not the cobordism we seek.
- Attach a canceling handle for α_1^1 , β_1^2 , note that now α_2^2 is now trivially attached, and attach a canceling 3-handle β_2^3 .
- Now, $B_0 \cup \beta_1^2 \cup \beta_2^3 = B_0 \cup_{M_1} W_0$, where (W_0, M_1, M_0) is $M_1 \times \mathbb{I}$ with β_1^2 and β_2^3 attached
- Read W_0 upside-down, so that it becomes (W_0, M_0, M_1) , and W_0 looks like $M_0 \times \mathbb{I}$ with an (n-3)-handle γ_2^{n-3} and an (n-2)-handle γ_2^{n-2} attached

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

- Then this cobordism (B_0, M_0, M_1) has fundamental group G_1 and it therefore not the cobordism we seek.
- Attach a canceling handle for α_1^1 , β_1^2 , note that now α_2^2 is now trivially attached, and attach a canceling 3-handle β_2^3 .
- Now, $B_0 \cup \beta_1^2 \cup \beta_2^3 = B_0 \cup_{M_1} W_0$, where (W_0, M_1, M_0) is $M_1 \times \mathbb{I}$ with β_1^2 and β_2^3 attached
- Read W_0 upside-down, so that it becomes (W_0, M_0, M_1) , and W_0 looks like $M_0 \times \mathbb{I}$ with an (n-3)-handle γ_2^{n-3} and an (n-2)-handle γ_2^{n-2} attached
- (W_0, M_0, M_1) is the cobordism we seek

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

• Continue inductively forming (W_1, M_1, M_2) , (W_2, M_2, M_3) , ad *nfinitum*, and set $V = W_0 \cup_{M_1} W_1 \cup_{M_2} W_3 \dots$

- Continue inductively forming (W_1, M_1, M_2) , (W_2, M_2, M_3) , ad *nfinitum*, and set $V = W_0 \cup_{M_1} W_1 \cup_{M_2} W_3 \dots$
- Then V has $G_0 \leftarrow G_1 \leftarrow G_2 \leftarrow \dots$ as its fundamental group system at infinity and $\partial V = M_0$

- Continue inductively forming (W_1, M_1, M_2) , (W_2, M_2, M_3) , ad *nfinitum*, and set $V = W_0 \cup_{M_1} W_1 \cup_{M_2} W_3 \dots$
- Then V has $G_0 \leftarrow G_1 \leftarrow G_2 \leftarrow \dots$ as its fundamental group system at infinity and $\partial V = M_0$
- Finally, if we set $N_i = W_i \cup_{M_i} W_{i+1} \cup_{M_{i+1}} W_{i+2} \dots$, $N'_i = \beta_i^2 \cup N_i$, and $M'_i = \beta_i^2 \cup M_i$, then $M'_i \hookrightarrow N'_i$ is a homotopy equivalence, so V is absolutely inward tame.

- Continue inductively forming (W_1, M_1, M_2) , (W_2, M_2, M_3) , ad *nfinitum*, and set $V = W_0 \cup_{M_1} W_1 \cup_{M_2} W_3 \dots$
- Then V has $G_0 \leftarrow G_1 \leftarrow G_2 \leftarrow \dots$ as its fundamental group system at infinity and $\partial V = M_0$
- Finally, if we set $N_i = W_i \cup_{M_i} W_{i+1} \cup_{M_{i+1}} W_{i+2} \dots$, $N'_i = \beta_i^2 \cup N_i$, and $M'_i = \beta_i^2 \cup M_i$, then $M'_i \hookrightarrow N'_i$ is a homotopy equivalence, so V is absolutely inward tame.
- This completes the proof.



• THE END

Jeffrey Rolland Some Results on Pseudo-Collar Structures on High-Dimensional