# Some Constructions of Pseudo-Collarable 1-Ended **Manifolds**

#### Jeffrey Rolland

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee

June 12, 2014

Jeffrey Rolland [Some Constructions of Pseudo-Collarable 1-Ended Manifolds](#page-69-0)

<span id="page-0-0"></span>つへへ

Quillen's plus construction is a way of taking a CW complex or manifold  $X$  whose fundamental group  $G$  contains a perfect normal subgroup P and creating a new CW complex or manifold  $X^+$  whose fundamental group is simpler, namely  $Q = G/P$ 

つへへ

- Quillen's plus construction is a way of taking a CW complex or manifold  $X$  whose fundamental group  $G$  contains a perfect normal subgroup P and creating a new CW complex or manifold  $X^+$  whose fundamental group is simpler, namely  $Q = G/P$
- If the object is a manifold, the plus construction creates a plus cobordism  $(W, X, X^+)$  between the two manifold with  $X^+ \hookrightarrow W$  a simple homotopy equivalence which gives rise to a map f between the two manifolds

- Quillen's plus construction is a way of taking a CW complex or manifold  $X$  whose fundamental group  $G$  contains a perfect normal subgroup P and creating a new CW complex or manifold  $X^+$  whose fundamental group is simpler, namely  $Q = G/P$
- If the object is a manifold, the plus construction creates a plus cobordism  $(W, X, X^+)$  between the two manifold with  $X^+ \hookrightarrow W$  a simple homotopy equivalence which gives rise to a map f between the two manifolds
- If the object is a CW complex, the Plus Construction simply create a map f between the two CW complexes

**ALLEY LEV** 

- Quillen's plus construction is a way of taking a CW complex or manifold  $X$  whose fundamental group  $G$  contains a perfect normal subgroup P and creating a new CW complex or manifold  $X^+$  whose fundamental group is simpler, namely  $Q = G/P$
- If the object is a manifold, the plus construction creates a plus cobordism  $(W, X, X^+)$  between the two manifold with  $X^+ \hookrightarrow W$  a simple homotopy equivalence which gives rise to a map f between the two manifolds
- If the object is a CW complex, the Plus Construction simply create a map f between the two CW complexes
- In either case, the map f is a  $\mathbb{Z} Q$ -homology isomorphism

**ALLEY LEV** 

• In previous work, we had discovered a partial reverse to Quillen's plus construction in the high-dimensional manifold category

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \pm & \pm & \pm & \pm \end{array} \right.$ 

 $2Q$ 

- In previous work, we had discovered a partial reverse to Quillen's plus construction in the high-dimensional manifold category
- $\bullet$  Let M be a manifold of dimension 6 or higher, with  $\pi_1(M) \cong Q$

化重 网络重

 $QQ$ 

- In previous work, we had discovered a partial reverse to Quillen's plus construction in the high-dimensional manifold category
- $\bullet$  Let M be a manifold of dimension 6 or higher, with  $\pi_1(M) \cong Q$
- $\bullet$  Let K be a finitely presented superperfect group

つへへ

- In previous work, we had discovered a partial reverse to Quillen's plus construction in the high-dimensional manifold category
- $\bullet$  Let M be a manifold of dimension 6 or higher, with  $\pi_1(M) \cong Q$
- $\bullet$  Let K be a finitely presented superperfect group
- Let G be a semi-direct product of Q by K,  $G = K \rtimes Q$

つへへ

- In previous work, we had discovered a partial reverse to Quillen's plus construction in the high-dimensional manifold category
- $\bullet$  Let M be a manifold of dimension 6 or higher, with  $\pi_1(M) \cong Q$
- $\bullet$  Let K be a finitely presented superperfect group
- $\bullet$  Let G be a semi-direct product of Q by K,  $G = K \rtimes Q$
- $\bullet$  (This means G satisfies  $1 \to K \to G \to Q \to 1$ , so G is a group extension of  $Q$  by  $K$ , with a special condition for how elements of  $Q$  multiply elements of  $K$  in  $G$ )

桐 レースモ レースモ レー

- In previous work, we had discovered a partial reverse to Quillen's plus construction in the high-dimensional manifold category
- $\bullet$  Let M be a manifold of dimension 6 or higher, with  $\pi_1(M) \cong Q$
- $\bullet$  Let K be a finitely presented superperfect group
- Let G be a semi-direct product of Q by K,  $G = K \rtimes Q$
- $\bullet$  (This means G satisfies  $1 \to K \to G \to Q \to 1$ , so G is a group extension of  $Q$  by  $K$ , with a special condition for how elements of  $Q$  multiply elements of  $K$  in  $G$ )
- (Semi-direct products are the simplest kind of group extensions; direct products are one example)

母 ▶ イヨ ▶ イヨ ▶ │

- In previous work, we had discovered a partial reverse to Quillen's plus construction in the high-dimensional manifold category
- $\bullet$  Let M be a manifold of dimension 6 or higher, with  $\pi_1(M) \cong Q$
- $\bullet$  Let K be a finitely presented superperfect group
- Let G be a semi-direct product of Q by K,  $G = K \rtimes Q$
- $\bullet$  (This means G satisfies  $1 \to K \to G \to Q \to 1$ , so G is a group extension of  $Q$  by  $K$ , with a special condition for how elements of  $Q$  multiply elements of  $K$  in  $G$ )
- (Semi-direct products are the simplest kind of group extensions; direct products are one example)
- Then there is a cobordism  $(W, M, M)$  with  $\pi_1(M) \cong G$ and  $M \hookrightarrow W$  a simple homotopy equivalence

メタメメ きょくぼくしき

 $\bullet$  We call  $(W, M, M_{-})$  a semi-s-cobordism, because it is "half an s-cobordism"

医头面的头面的

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$  We call  $(W, M, M_+)$  a semi-s-cobordism, because it is "half an s-cobordism"



ミッ

 $QQ$ 

 $\bullet$  We call  $(W, M, M_+)$  a semi-s-cobordism, because it is "half an s-cobordism"



#### $\bullet$

• Note  $(W, M_-, M)$  (read upside-down, with the roles of M and  $M_+$  reversed) is a plus cobordism (so  $(M_-)^+ \approx M$ )

• What we would like to do now is "stack" these semi-s-cobordisms, forming  $(W_1, M, M_-)$ ,  $(W_2, M_-, M_{--})$ , and so on, out to infinity

 $2990$ 

- 4 重 8 - 4 重 8

- What we would like to do now is "stack" these semi-s-cobordisms, forming  $(W_1, M, M_-)$ ,  $(W_2, M_-, M_{--})$ , and so on, out to infinity
- Gluing  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  together across  $M_$  and so on produces an  $(n + 1)$ -dimesional, 1-ended manifold V whose neighborhoods of infinity are pseudo-collared

**ARABA** 

- What we would like to do now is "stack" these semi-s-cobordisms, forming  $(W_1, M, M_-)$ ,  $(W_2, M_-, M_{--})$ , and so on, out to infinity
- Gluing  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  together across  $M_$  and so on produces an  $(n + 1)$ -dimesional, 1-ended manifold V whose neighborhoods of infinity are pseudo-collared



Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold

 $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow$ 

 $QQ$ 

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- We would like to produce many examples of open, 1-ended,  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional pseudo-collared manifolds

医单位 医单位

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- We would like to produce many examples of open, 1-ended,  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional pseudo-collared manifolds
- We could just keep changing the kernel group  $K$  at each stage, but we would like to keep the same kernel group  $K$

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- We would like to produce many examples of open, 1-ended,  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional pseudo-collared manifolds
- We could just keep changing the kernel group  $K$  at each stage, but we would like to keep the same kernel group  $K$
- The idea is that there will be infintely many outer automorphisms, so we can form infintely many semi-direct products, each with a different outer automorphism

**CALCE AND A TENNIS** 

- Pseudo-collars are generalizations of collar structures on the boundary of a manifold
- We would like to produce many examples of open, 1-ended,  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional pseudo-collared manifolds
- We could just keep changing the kernel group  $K$  at each stage, but we would like to keep the same kernel group  $K$
- The idea is that there will be infintely many outer automorphisms, so we can form infintely many semi-direct products, each with a different outer automorphism
- This is one advantage of using semi-direct products over direct products

<span id="page-22-0"></span>And Band Band

<span id="page-23-0"></span>Let M be a manifold of dimension  $n \geq 6$  with fundamental group Z. Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let  $S = P * P$ . Then there are uncountably many  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

Let M be a manifold of dimension  $n \geq 6$  with fundamental group Z. Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let  $S = P * P$ . Then there are uncountably many  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

 $V$  will break up into semi-s-cobordisms  $(W_j, M_{j-1}, M_j)$ , where  $G_j \cong S \rtimes G_{j-1}, G_j = \pi_1(M_j)$ 

Let M be a manifold of dimension  $n \geq 6$  with fundamental group Z. Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let  $S = P * P$ . Then there are uncountably many  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

- $V$  will break up into semi-s-cobordisms  $(W_j, M_{j-1}, M_j)$ , where  $G_j \cong S \rtimes G_{j-1}, G_j = \pi_1(M_j)$
- Each  $G_i$  will, in fact, be isomorphic to  $(S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$

Let M be a manifold of dimension  $n \geq 6$  with fundamental group Z. Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let  $S = P * P$ . Then there are uncountably many  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

- $V$  will break up into semi-s-cobordisms  $(W_j, M_{j-1}, M_j)$ , where  $G_j \cong S \rtimes G_{j-1}, G_j = \pi_1(M_j)$
- Each  $G_i$  will, in fact, be isomorphic to  $(S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$
- We will produce different  $\mathit{G_j}$ 's by varying the outer actions, a technical part of semi-direct products, while keeping the quotient group,  $\mathbb Z$ , and kernel group, S, essentially constant

<span id="page-26-0"></span>メラトメ ミトメ ミト

Let M be a manifold of dimension  $n \geq 6$  with fundamental group Z. Let P be a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders, and let  $S = P * P$ . Then there are uncountably many  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional, pseudo-collarable, 1-ended manifolds V with boundary M

- $V$  will break up into semi-s-cobordisms  $(W_j, M_{j-1}, M_j)$ , where  $G_j \cong S \rtimes G_{j-1}, G_j = \pi_1(M_j)$
- Each  $G_i$  will, in fact, be isomorphic to  $(S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$
- We will produce different  $\mathit{G_j}$ 's by varying the outer actions, a technical part of semi-direct products, while keeping the quotient group,  $\mathbb Z$ , and kernel group, S, essentially constant
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>We will produce one  $V$  for each  $\omega \in \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ 0,1 \}$  $\omega \in \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ 0,1 \}$

<span id="page-28-0"></span>• There actually is a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders

- There actually is a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders
- Thompson's group V fits the bill

- There actually is a finitely presented, superperfect, centerless, Hopfian group with torsion elements of infinitely many different orders
- Thompson's group V fits the bill
- It is fintely presented, superperfect, simple (hence centerless and Hopfian - also perfect), and contains a copy of each  $S_n$ , hence of each finite group, hence torsion elements of each order

• Of course, it's pretty easy to whip out a 1-ended, pseudo-collarable manifold V: you just keep taking larger and larger  $\,G\!j}$ 's and  $\,M\!j$ 's and glue the cobordisms together

- Of course, it's pretty easy to whip out a 1-ended, pseudo-collarable manifold V: you just keep taking larger and larger  $\,G\!j}$ 's and  $\,M\!j$ 's and glue the cobordisms together
- The hard part is proving that the resulting pro-fundamental group systems at infinity are all non-isomorphic

- Of course, it's pretty easy to whip out a 1-ended, pseudo-collarable manifold V: you just keep taking larger and larger  $\,G\!j}$ 's and  $\,M\!j$ 's and glue the cobordisms together
- The hard part is proving that the resulting pro-fundamental group systems at infinity are all non-isomorphic
- For example, if  $Q = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $K_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $K_2 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $G_1 = K_1 \times Q$  and  $G_2 = K_2 \times Q$  are isomorphic, even though  $K_1 \not\simeq K_2$

桐 レースモ レースモ レー

 $\bullet$  We would like to relax the constraint on P that it have torsion elements of infinitely many different orders to that it contains a countably infinite subset  $U$  with the property that there is no isomorphism  $\psi$  of  $P$  which carries  $u_i$  onto  $u_j$  for  $u_i$  and  $u_j$ distinct elements of  $U$ , but have run into some technical difficulties

- $\bullet$  We would like to relax the constraint on P that it have torsion elements of infinitely many different orders to that it contains a countably infinite subset  $U$  with the property that there is no isomorphism  $\psi$  of  $P$  which carries  $u_i$  onto  $u_j$  for  $u_i$  and  $u_j$ distinct elements of  $U$ , but have run into some technical difficulties
- $\bullet$  This would open up more groups as possible candidates for P, for example, all fundamental groups of hyperbolic homology spheres of dimension  $> 3$

a

医头面的头面

 $\,$ э

#### Lemma

Let A, B, C, and D be nontrivial groups and ley  $\phi: A \times B \rightarrow C * D$  be a epimorphism. Then either  $\phi(A \times \{1\})$  is all of  $C * D$  and  $\phi({1} \times B)$  is trivial or  $\phi(A \times {1})$  is trivial and  $\phi({1} \times B)$  is all of  $C * D$ 

**Allen Strate** 

#### Lemma

Let A, B, C, and D be nontrivial groups and ley  $\phi: A \times B \to C * D$  be a epimorphism. Then either  $\phi(A \times \{1\})$  is all of  $C * D$  and  $\phi({1} \times B)$  is trivial or  $\phi(A \times {1})$  is trivial and  $\phi({1} \times B)$  is all of  $C * D$ 

• Remark This is really the grain of sand that led to the pearl that is the theorem. Everything must commute and the domain, and nothing can commute in the range

#### Lemma

Let A, B, C, and D be nontrivial groups and ley  $\phi: A \times B \to C * D$  be a epimorphism. Then either  $\phi(A \times \{1\})$  is all of  $C * D$  and  $\phi({1} \times B)$  is trivial or  $\phi(A \times {1})$  is trivial and  $\phi({1} \times B)$  is all of  $C * D$ 

- Remark This is really the grain of sand that led to the pearl that is the theorem. Everything must commute and the domain, and nothing can commute in the range
- The proof uses the fact that a free product is never an internal direct product

A & Y B & Y B

#### Lemma (The Straightening-Up Lemma  $(n = m)$ )

Let  $n = m$ , let S be a free product, and let  $\psi$ :  $S \times S \times ... \times S$  (n copies)  $\rightarrow$   $S \times S \times ... \times S$  (m copies) be an isomorphism. Write  $\psi_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}}$  for  $\pi_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{j}}}\circ\psi|_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}.$  Then  $\psi$  splits as n isomorphisms  $\psi_{\pmb{i},\sigma(\pmb{i})}$ , with  $\sigma$  a permutation, with all other  $\psi_{\pmb{i},\pmb{j}}$ 's being the trivial map

#### Lemma (The Straightening-Up Lemma  $(n = m)$ )

Let  $n = m$ , let S be a free product, and let  $\psi$ :  $S \times S \times ... \times S$  (n copies)  $\rightarrow$   $S \times S \times ... \times S$  (m copies) be an isomorphism. Write  $\psi_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}}$  for  $\pi_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{j}}}\circ\psi|_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}.$  Then  $\psi$  splits as n isomorphisms  $\psi_{\pmb{i},\sigma(\pmb{i})}$ , with  $\sigma$  a permutation, with all other  $\psi_{\pmb{i},\pmb{j}}$ 's being the trivial map

#### Lemma (The Straightening-Up Corollary  $(n > m)$ )

Let  $n > m$ , let S be a Hopfian free product, and let  $\psi$ :  $S \times S \times ... \times S$  (n copies)  $\rightarrow$   $S \times S \times ... \times S$  (m copies) be an epimorphism. Write  $\psi_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}}$  for  $\pi_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{j}}}\circ\psi|_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}.$  Then  $\psi$  splits as m isomorphisms  $\psi_{\sigma^{-1}(i),i}$ , with  $\sigma$  a permutation, with all other  $\psi_{i,j}$ 's being the trivial map

メター・メモ トラモド

• For the next 2 Lemmas, we have

医单位 化重

 $\sim$ 

 $299$ 

э

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect  $P_1 * P_2$  (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from  $P_1$ )

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect  $P_1 * P_2$  (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from  $P_1$ )

$$
\quad \bullet \ \ G_1 = (S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2}, \ldots, \phi_{u_n}} \mathbb{Z} \ \text{and}
$$

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect  $P_1 * P_2$  (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from  $P_1$ )
- $\mathsf{G}_1 = (\mathsf{S} \times \mathsf{S} \times \ldots \times \mathsf{S}) \rtimes_{\phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2}, \ldots, \phi_{u_n}} \mathbb{Z}$  and
- $G_2 = (S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{v_1}, \phi_{v_2}, \ldots, \phi_{v_m}} \mathbb{Z}$  are semi-direct products

A & Y B & Y B &

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect  $P_1 * P_2$  (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from  $P_1$ )
- $\mathsf{G}_1 = (\mathsf{S} \times \mathsf{S} \times \ldots \times \mathsf{S}) \rtimes_{\phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2}, \ldots, \phi_{u_n}} \mathbb{Z}$  and
- $G_2 = (S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{v_1}, \phi_{v_2}, \ldots, \phi_{v_m}} \mathbb{Z}$  are semi-direct products

where  $\phi_{u_i}$  is the outer action of  $\mathbb Z$  on  $\mathcal S$  given by  $\phi_{u_i}(z)(p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \in P_1 \\ \frac{p}{z-1} & \text{if } p \in P_2 \end{cases}$  $u_i^{-z}$  $i_j^{-z}$  *pu*<sub>i</sub> if  $p \in P_2$ 

桐 トライモ トライモ トリー

- For the next 2 Lemmas, we have
- S a free product of 2 copies of the same group superperfect  $P_1 * P_2$  (centerless and Hopfian for the Corollary) with an infinite list of torsion elements of different orders (all chosen from  $P_1$ )
- $\mathsf{G}_1 = (\mathsf{S} \times \mathsf{S} \times \ldots \times \mathsf{S}) \rtimes_{\phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2}, \ldots, \phi_{u_n}} \mathbb{Z}$  and
- $G_2 = (S \times S \times \ldots \times S) \rtimes_{\phi_{v_1}, \phi_{v_2}, \ldots, \phi_{v_m}} \mathbb{Z}$  are semi-direct products

where  $\phi_{u_i}$  is the outer action of  $\mathbb Z$  on  $\mathcal S$  given by  $\phi_{u_i}(z)(p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \in P_1 \\ \frac{p}{z-1} & \text{if } p \in P_2 \end{cases}$  $u_i^{-z}$  $i_j^{-z}$  *pu*<sub>i</sub> if  $p \in P_2$ 

(This particular kind of outer action is called a partial conjugation) **ALLA EN 185** 

#### Lemma (The Conder Isomorphism Lemma  $(n = m)$ )

Let n = m, and let  $\theta$  :  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be an isomorphism. Then  $\theta$ restricts to an isomorphism on the commutator subgroup  $K = S \times S \times \ldots \times S$ , and S factors which correspond by the Straightening-Up Lemma ( $n = m$ ) have  $\phi_u$ 's with the same order in the definition of their semi-direct product

#### Lemma (The Conder Isomorphism Lemma  $(n = m)$ )

Let n = m, and let  $\theta$  :  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be an isomorphism. Then  $\theta$ restricts to an isomorphism on the commutator subgroup  $K = S \times S \times ... \times S$ , and S factors which correspond by the Straightening-Up Lemma ( $n = m$ ) have  $\phi_u$ 's with the same order in the definition of their semi-direct product

#### Lemma (The Conder Isomorphism Corollary  $(n > m)$ )

Let  $n > m$ , and let  $\theta : G_1 \to G_2$  be an epimorphism. Then  $\theta$ restricts to an epimorphism on the commutator subgroup  $K = S \times S \times ... \times S$ , and S factors which correspond by the Straightening-Up Corollary ( $n > m$ ) have  $\phi_u$ 's with the same order in the definition of their semi-direct product

∢何 ▶ ∢ ヨ ▶ ∢ ヨ ▶

#### Now, for  $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \{0,1\}$ , we have the following binary tree

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A}$ 

Now, for  $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \{0,1\}$ , we have the following binary tree



We start at the root of the tree with  $\mathbb Z$ , and keep blowing this quotient group up by a semi-direct product with S at each node

к∋к

 $QQ$ 

We start at the root of the tree with  $\mathbb Z$ , and keep blowing this quotient group up by a semi-direct product with S at each node



 $Q \cap$ 

This gives rise to binary tree of group extensions ...

モミチ 一心語 <span id="page-54-0"></span> $2Q$ 

This gives rise to binary tree of group extensions ...



... and semi-s-cobordisms

すぎわす 高

<span id="page-56-0"></span> $299$ 

э Пb.

... and semi-s-cobordisms



• Now, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let  $(\omega, n)$  be the corresponding finite sequence of length  $n$ 

- 4 国家 3 国

- Now, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let  $(\omega, n)$  be the corresponding finite sequence of length  $n$
- Corresponding to each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we have an inverse sequence of groups  $(\mathit{G}_{(\omega,n)},\alpha_{(\omega,n)})$

 $QQ$ 

- Now, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let  $(\omega, n)$  be the corresponding finite sequence of length  $n$
- Corresponding to each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we have an inverse sequence of groups  $(\mathit{G}_{(\omega,n)},\alpha_{(\omega,n)})$
- Two inverse sequences are pro-isomorphic if and only if, after passing to subsequences, they may be put into a ladder diagram



• To finish off the proof, let  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  be distinct sequences in  $\Omega$ 

 $\sim$ 

- イヨメ イヨ

 $299$ 

э

- To finish off the proof, let  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  be distinct sequences in  $\Omega$
- Suppose  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  agree up to some level  $n_0$

 $QQ$ 

医单位 医单位

- To finish off the proof, let  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  be distinct sequences in  $\Omega$
- Suppose  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  agree up to some level  $n_0$
- Consider the 1-ended, pseudo-collarable  $(n + 1)$ -manifolds  $V_{\omega}$ and  $V_n$

 $QQ$ 

- To finish off the proof, let  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  be distinct sequences in  $\Omega$
- Suppose  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  agree up to some level  $n_0$
- Consider the 1-ended, pseudo-collarable  $(n + 1)$ -manifolds  $V_{\omega}$ and  $V_n$
- Suppose, after passing to subsequences, we have their pro-fundamental group systems at infinity fitting into a ladder diagram



By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume  $n_0 < m_1 < n_2 < m_3 < \ldots$ 

 $2990$ 

э

- 4 重 8 - 4 重 8

By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume  $n_0 < m_1 < n_2 < m_3 < \ldots$ 

• Now,  $g_{n2}$  fits the form for the Conder Isomorphism Corollary  $(n > m)$ , so it must be onto  $m_1$  copies of S and corresponding copies of  $S$  must have  $\phi_{u_i}$ 's withe same order

By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume  $n_0 < m_1 < n_2 < m_3 < \ldots$ 

- Now,  $g_{n2}$  fits the form for the Conder Isomorphism Corollary  $(n > m)$ , so it must be onto  $m_1$  copies of S and corresponding copies of  $S$  must have  $\phi_{u_i}$ 's withe same order
- But,  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  only agree up to  $n_0$  and cannot have  $\phi_{u_i}$ 's with the same orders on the remaining  $m_1 - n_0$  corresponding copies of S!

A & Y B & Y B &

By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume  $n_0 < m_1 < n_2 < m_3 < \ldots$ 

- Now,  $g_{n2}$  fits the form for the Conder Isomorphism Corollary  $(n > m)$ , so it must be onto  $m_1$  copies of S and corresponding copies of  $S$  must have  $\phi_{u_i}$ 's withe same order
- But,  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  only agree up to  $n_0$  and cannot have  $\phi_{u_i}$ 's with the same orders on the remaining  $m_1 - n_0$  corresponding copies of S!
- This concludes the proof



#### THE END

Jeffrey Rolland [Some Constructions of Pseudo-Collarable 1-Ended Manifolds](#page-0-0)

イロト イ部 トイ君 トイ君 ト

 $299$ 

<span id="page-69-0"></span>活